IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#21

In re application of

: Tucholski

07/641,394

Filed

Serial No.

January 15, 1991

For : BATTERIES WITH TESTER LABEL

SEP 7 - 1993

RECEIVED IN

BOX INTERFERENCE

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Attention: Ian A. Calvert, Vice Chairman Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Box Interference

Washington, D.C. 20231

OBJECTION TO PETITION FOR ACCESS

A Petition for Access to this application was filed by Stephen D.

Murphy on or about August 18, 1993. Mr. Murphy alleges, as grounds for access, that United States Patent 5,223,003 claims the benefit of the filing date of this application.

Applicant objects to this Petition insofar as it seeks access to the prosecution of this application. The ground for this objection, succinctly stated, is that no prosecution has taken place in this application of the subject matter claimed in United States Patent 5,223,003.

United States Patent 5,223,003, more particularly, issued from Application Serial No. 813,234 filed December 23, 1991. Application Serial No. 813,234 was a continuation-in-part of this application. Applicant's attorney has compared the specification of this application to that of United States Patent 5,223,003 and determined that the specification of this application is substantially incorporated into that of the patent. Applicant has determined, for this reason, that access to this application (but not its prosecution history) is proper. Copies of this application are attached and served on Petitioner.

In re application of Tucholski Serial No. 07/641,394

Page 2

Applicant's attorney has also reviewed the prosecution of this application and compared it with the claimed subject matter in United States Patent 5,223,003. Each of the claims of the patent specifically recites the step of applying "a layer having a color contrasting to the color of the thermally sensitive material between the conductive layer and thermally sensitive material." No claim of comparable scope has been prosecuted in this application. No claim of comparable scope, in fact, could have been prosecuted in this application because this application does not even disclose the step of applying of a color-contrasting layer. This step was the subject matter added in the continuation-in-part application.

There exists, in short, no prosecution in this application "of subject matter claimed in the patent." M.P.E.P. §103. Petitioner, thus, is not entitled to access to the prosecution of this application. Petitioner is entitled, rather, only to access to this application as filed. Such access is furnished by serving herewith a copy upon Petitioner.

Respectfully submitted,

GARY R. TUCHOLSKI

By: Price, Heneveld, Cooper,

DeWitt & Litton

Randall G. Litton

Registration No. 24 013

695 Kenmoor, S.E.; Post Office Box 2567

Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501

(616) 949-9610

RGL:jkt EVE01 A-310